

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/646,891	08/25/2003	Yukio Hosaka	241903US0 1243		
22850	7590 07/12/200		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/646,891	HOSAKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ana L. Woodward	1711	

	Ana L. Woodward	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil wilded below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) be because of the claim(s) because of the claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11 and 14-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15-24</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Ana L. Woodward Primary Examiner	,				
•		Art Unit: 1711					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is within the scope of Hasegawa et al '264 to form a composition comprising a matrix obtained by co-crosslinking EVA (as component A) with modified polyolefin (as component B), wherein B comprises at least 10% by weight of the sum of A and B, and water-soluble particles (as component C).